Board Members

Frederick S. Wechsler, Psy.D., ABPP Chair Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D. Vice-Chair Joseph C. Donaldson Secretary Bob Bohanske, Ph.D. John P. DiBacco, Ph.D. Daniel Larson Ramona Mellott. Ph.D.



State of Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners

1400 West Washington, Suite 235 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279

www.psychboard.az.gov

TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL June 1, 2012

1400 W. Washington, Ste. 235 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

REGULAR SESSION MINUTES

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners was called to order by Chairman Wechsler at 7:46 a.m. on June 1, 2012. No executive sessions were held.

2. ROLL CALL

Board Members Participating by Telephone

Frederick S. Wechsler, Psy.D., ABPP – Chair Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D. – Vice Chair Joseph C. Donaldson – Secretary Bob Bohanske, Ph.D. John P. DiBacco, Ph.D. Daniel Larson (7:46 a.m., - 9:00 a.m.) Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D. (joined at 7:47 a.m.)

Staff Present

Dr. Cindy Olvey, Executive Director Megan Martin, Deputy Director Heather Duracinski, Licensing Coor.

Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey Executive Director

Megan Martin

Deputy Director

Heather Duracinski

Licensing Coordinator

Attorney General's Office

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

3. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Chairman Wechsler invited the public to address the Board.

Bonnie Patricia Peplow requested to speak and made a statement to the Board. Ms. Peplow provided background information regarding her professional and work history. She stated that she has concerns regarding Dr. Eugene Cherry in that he has aligned himself with individuals who have misrepresented themselves to the court.

Nisha Chirnomas requested to speak and made a statement to the Board. Ms. Chirnomas elaborated on her previous court case regarding custody of a minor child. Ms. Chirnomas stated that Ciara Coultrap and Dr. Cherry acted unprofessionally and unethically in her case. Ms. Chirnomas stated that Dr. Cherry failed to properly supervise Michael and Ciara Coultrap. Ms. Chirnomas stated that Michael and Ciara Coultrap lack the proper training to provide court related services. Ms. Chirnomas indicated that she is concerned that Arizona Family Rights is a new trade name in lieu of Legacy for Michael and Ciara Coultrap.

Melissa Prins-Verburg requested to speak and made a statement to the Board. Ms. Prins-Verburg stated that as early as 2010 individuals started voicing concerns regarding Michael and Ciara Coultrap. Ms. Prins-Verburg stated that complaints regarding Michael and Ciara Coultrap were made to the Attorney General's office, police department, media, Domestic Relations Committee, Victims Advocacy

Organizations and the presiding judge of the Superior Court. Ms. Prins-Verburg said that Michael and Ciara Coultrap attended the Domestic Relations Committee wherein Michael Coultrap presented a speech to the Committee. In his speech he indicated that Ciara Coultrap has an academic doctorate degree in counseling psychology with a focus in child counseling and that they are contracted with a licensed psychologist Eugene Cherry.

Gerald Chirnomas requested to speak and made a statement to the Board. Mr. Chirnomas stated the he will continue to address the Board regarding Dr. Cherry's unprofessional conduct. Mr. Chirnomas stated that Dr. Cherry was unethical in entering into a business relationship with Michael and Ciara Coultrap. Mr. Chirnomas stated that Dr. Cherry allowed monetary gain to "blind" him ethically. Mr. Chirnomas stated that he has felt threatened by Michael Coultrap.

The Complainant in RFI 11-34 requested to speak and made a statement to the Board. She stated that the complaint has been rescheduled previously and is concerned that the complaint may not go before the Complaint Screening Committee in a timely manner.

4) CONSENT AGENDA - DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION

Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to accept and approve those items listed on the Consent Agenda. The motion carried (7-0), with the exception of the minutes which were approved 5-0 with Mr. Larson and Dr. DiBacco abstaining.

a. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- Executive Session Minutes February 3, 2012 (9:55 a.m. 10:10 a.m.)
- Executive Session Minutes February 3, 2012 (10:21 a.m. 12:12 p.m.)
- Executive Session Minutes February 3, 2012 (1:43 p.m. 2:58 p.m.)
- Regular Session Minutes March 30, 2012
- Executive Session Minutes March 30, 2012 (9:07 a.m. 9:48 p.m.)
- Executive Session Minutes March 30, 2012 (10:55 a.m. 11:09 p.m.)
- Executive Session Minutes March 30, 2012 (1:57 p.m. 2:07 p.m.)

b. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING PSYCHOLOGY APPLICATIONS

> REQUESTING APPROVAL TO TAKE EXAM

Rachel Mark, Psy.D.

> REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR EXAM & LICENSURE (UPON PASSING SCORE)

Anna Adams, Psy.D.

Raymond-Steven Almora Fernandez, Ph.D.

Katherine Hadlandsmyth, Ph.D.

William Thomas Joshua Johnson, Ph.D.

Sandra Klar, Psy.D.

Genomary Krigbaum, Psy.D.

Meagan Lentz, Psy.D.

Darren Love, Psy.D.

Mary Oakley, Psy.D.

Eddie Ornelas III. Ph.D.

Tabatha Sandman Kerr, Psy.D.

Stevie Stanford, Ph.D.

Jennifer Thompson, Psy.D.

Marloes Verhoeven, Psy.D.

Grizelda Valenzuela, Psy.D.

> REQUESTING APPROVAL OF LICENSURE BY WAIVER

Jessica Leclerc, Psy.D. Jason Netland, Ph.D.

> REQUESTING APPROVAL OF SUPERVISED PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE HOURS AND LICENSURE

Gretchen Schoenfield, Ph.D.

- c. REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO TAKE EPPP EXAM FROM LINDA SALVUCCI, Ph.D.
- d. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR RE-ACTIVATION OF LICENSE FOR MICHAEL BREUS, Ph.D.
- 5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FROM LARRY COHEN FOR SECOND CONTINUANCE FOR RFI 11-34, DAVID BIEGEN, PH.D.

Ms. Martin provided a summary to the Board. Larry Cohen was present, requested to speak, made a statement and answered Board members' questions. Larry Cohen stated that Dr. Biegen is unable to attend the June 13, 2012, Complaint Screening Committee meeting due to a medical issue. Mr. Cohen stated that he will submit a letter from Dr. Biegen's physician stating such.

Board members deliberated. Board members discussed that it is not mandatory for Dr. Biegen or any Licensee to attend the Complaint Screening Committee meeting. Board members recognized that Dr. Biegen is represented by an attorney and that the attorney may attend the Complaint Screening Committee meeting on behalf of Dr. Biegen. Board members asked Ms. Galvin about the Board's options. Ms. Galvin suggested offering Dr. Biegen the option to participate telephonically. Mr. Cohen stated that he would inquire as to whether it would be possible for Dr. Biegen participate telephonically. After deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Brundage, to deny the request for a second continuance for RFI 11-34. The motion carried (7-0).

6. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR SECOND EXTENSION SUBMITTED BY CIARA COULTRAP PERTAINING TO RFI T-12-07

Ms. Martin provided a summary to the Board. Neither the Complainant nor Ms. Coultrap were present. Board members deliberated and determined that the information requested should be able to be provided in a timely manner. Board members expressed concern regarding RFI T-12-07 and expressed a desire to move this case forward. Dr. Olvey informed the Board that RFI T-12-07 is scheduled to be heard on June 13, 2012, by the Complaint Screening Committee. After deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to deny the second extension request for RFI T-12-07. The motion carried (7-0).

7. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FROM DR. RICHARD GRAY ASKING WHETHER A PROGRAM ON THE TOPIC OF BULLYING SATISIFIES REQUIREMENT FOR CONTINUING EDUCATION IN THE AREA OF CHILD ABUSE PURSUANT TO A.A.C. R4-26-207(B)(2)

Ms. Duracinski provided a summary to the Board. Dr. Gray was not present. Board members deliberated whether a specific workshop in bullying would fulfill the requirements of continuing education in the area of child abuse. Board members expressed varied opinions on whether bullying would meet the requirements for continuing education in the area of child abuse. Board members debated the definition of child abuse defined by the legislature. Board members debated the Federal Child Abuse Act definition. Board members recognized that bullying is a relevant issue and agreed that Licensees should be aware and up to date on the issue. Board members discussed that this issue could be included for discussion in

the rule making process. Board members expressed concern that the Arizona Psychological Association publicizes workshops, seminars and presentations on bullying as being approved by the American Psychological Association and meeting the continuing education requirements. Ms. Galvin clarified what the role of the Board is for the purpose of this agenda item.

After deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to form an Ad Hoc Committee to discuss the issue of bullying further and whether bullying meets the requirements for continuing education in the area of child abuse. The motion included to invite the Arizona Psychological Association and to ask other Boards if they define bullying in rule or statute. The motion included to allow the Board's Continuing Education Committee flexibility until such a time that the Ad Hoc Committee makes a recommendation to the Board and the Board takes action. The motion also directed Dr. Olvey to contact the Arizona Psychological Association to advise them of the concerns raised by the Board. The motion carried (7-0).

8. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPROVAL OF REGULAR SESSION MINUTES FROM THE BOARD'S TELEPHONIC MEETING OF MARCH 2, 2012

Chairman Wechsler explained the rule of necessity and elaborated on the fact that there will never be a quorum of the Board to approve the March 2, 2012, Regular Session Minutes. Dr Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. DiBacco, to approve the March 2, 2012, Regular Session Minutes. The motion carried 4-0-3 with Dr. Mellott, Mr. Larson and Mr. Donaldson abstaining.

9. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING OPENING RULE MAKING DOCKET TO AMEND RULES PERTAINING TO LICENSURE AND REGULATION OF PSYCHOLOGISTS.

Dr. Olvey provided a summary to the Board. Dr. Olvey advised the Board that because the Board is intending to revise the psychology rules it would be in order to open a rule making docket and file it with the Secretary of State. After deliberation, Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske to open a rule making docket and file it with the Secretary of State. The motion carried (7-0).

10. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

Dr. DiBacco requested that an agenda item regarding the Board's role in reviewing legislation and how to respond when approached by different organizations.

11. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Board, a motion was made by Dr. Bohanske, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to adjourn the meeting at 9:16 a.m. The motion carried 7-0.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph C. Donaldson Board Secretary